

This office action is responsive to interview (see summary below) with attorney Amrozowicz held on 05/04/2006.

**Claims 1 – 11 are pending.**

1. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Paul Amrozowicz on 05/04/2006.

The application has been amended as follows:

In claim 1, line 20, "that defines a minor surface" has been deleted.

In claim 1, line 20 - - defining a minor surface - - has been inserted after "poppet" (second occurrence).

In claim 1, line <sup>21</sup>~~22~~, - - a - - has been inserted before "first".

In claim 1, line 23, "part" has been replaced with - - port - -.

*Rem*  
*6/10/06*

**Interview Summary**

Attorney Amrozowicz explained that as disclosed in detail in the marked up drawings from the Johnson reference, included in the copy of the fax (attached hereto), the actuator chamber (22) is not isolated from both the control port (45,46) and the outlet port (140) in the second position of the actuator. Examiner agreed with this and it was